



Coventry City Council

---

# **SUMMARY OF CABINET/CABINET MEMBER DECISIONS**

**WEEK COMMENCING 7 SEPTEMBER  
2015**

**CALL IN FOR THESE DECISIONS ENDS  
9.00 A.M. ON FRIDAY 18 SEPTEMBER  
2015**

**11 SEPTEMBER 2015**

# Public Business

- Denotes items that have been referred to Audit and Procurement Committee.
- # Denotes items that are to be referred to Council. Accordingly Call-in does not apply.
- ◆ Denotes a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board. Where this body has endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member Call-in does not apply.
- \* Denotes other items that have been referred to, or considered by, the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a specific Scrutiny Board.
- Split recommendations. Please see note at foot of item for details of the recommendations that are not subject to call-in.

Note: The Limitations on Call-in are set out at the end of this sheet.

## **Cabinet Member for Business, Enterprise and Employment– 7 September**

### **\* Report 4 The Employment Support Service (TESS)**

#### **Recommendations**

It is recommended that the Cabinet Member for Business, Enterprise and Employment:

- (1) Recognises and endorses the work undertaken to analyse options, reduce the costs of the service and propose a sustainable future for supported employment in the city.
- (2) Endorses the recommendation for the service to continue to operate within the City Council and to seek funding from the Clinical Commissioning Group and from within the Council to support this option (Option 1)

- (3) Notes that externalising the service is not an option at this time due to reasons of service structure, additional costs and long term viability.
- (4) Notes that merging the service with another supported employment deliverer is a feasible way forward but the current timescales prevent this being an immediate option.
- (5) Requests that the City Council fund the service between January to March 2016 to allow for the outcome of funding discussions and applications to be known, and to consult as appropriate on a detailed delivery model.

**Recommendations (1), (2), (4) and (5) were approved.**

**Recommendation (3) above was amended to read:-**

- (3) Notes that externalising the service is not an option at this time due to reasons of service structure, additional costs and long term viability **and requests that, should the formation of a Social Enterprise be an option in the future, then the research work carried out in this regard be shared with any appropriate organisation.**

**In addition the following additional recommendation was added:-**

- (6) Requests that a further report obtaining progress on this issue be submitted to the Cabinet Member meeting scheduled for 18 January, 2016.

## **Report 5 Outstanding Issues**

### **Recommendations**

The Cabinet Member for Business, Enterprise and Employment is requested to consider the list of outstanding issues and to ask the Member of the Strategic Management Board or appropriate officer to explain the current position on those which should have been discharged at this meeting or an earlier meeting.

**The above Recommendation was approved.**

## **Cabinet Member for Public Services – 8 September**

### **Report 4 Coventry City Council Hackney Carriage Vehicle Unmet Demand Survey 2014/15**

#### **Recommendation:**

The Cabinet Member for Public Services is recommended to:

Approve the continuation of the existing limit on the number of hackney carriage vehicle licences at 859 in accordance with the recommendations in the recent hackney carriage vehicle unmet demand survey.

**The above Recommendation was approved.**

### **Report 5 Petition Report – “Save the Charter Avenue Cemetery / Crematorium Hedgerow.”**

#### **Recommendations:**

The Cabinet Member for Public Services is recommended to:

1. Agree that officers continue with the planting out of the new border adjacent to Charter Avenue in accordance with the revised timescale.
2. Agree that officers continue with the removal of the existing border and repositioning of the fence to create up to 1200 new grave spaces in accordance with the revised timescale.
3. Request that officers continue to keep local residents informed of progress with regard to the establishment of the new border and removal of the existing border.
4. Request that officers continue to make efforts to contact owners of memorials and memorial trees within the existing border and obtain their wishes for the future of these features.

5. Agree that where it has not been possible to contact plaque owners officers relocate the memorial plaques to a defined and accessible location near to the existing border.
6. Note that the only future burial land with planning permission and Environment Agency approval for burial outside of Canley is the site at Lentons Lane, where there is sufficient space for the next thirty years following the investment considered in section 5 of this report.

**The above Recommendations were approved, along with the following additional recommendations:**

7. Request officers to work in consultation with the tree wardens to further plan the new border.
8. If a further complaint is lodged, it be dealt with in accordance with the Council's Complaints procedure and officers be requested to ensure that the freedom of information request is followed up and resolved.

**Report 6      Petition – Condition of pavements between 89 and 207 Stoney Stanton Road, Foleshill**

**Recommendations:**

The Cabinet Member for public services is recommended to approve that the section of pavement between 89 and 207 Stoney Stanton Road be considered for inclusion in the Highways Capital funded forward programme of footway surface treatment (footway slurry seal) work for repair in the financial year 2016/17.

**The above Recommendation was approved.**

**Report 7      Petition – To provide street lighting outside the off licence on the corner of Mayfield Road and Huntingdon Road.**

**Recommendations:**

The Cabinet Member is recommended to

- i)      Note the request of the petitioners
- ii)     Endorse the decision not to provide lighting directly outside the off licence on the corner of Mayfield Road and Huntingdon Road on the grounds that the highway lighting in the area meets all the required lighting level checks as explained in paragraph 2.1.

**The above Recommendations were approved.**

**Report 8      Report – Objection to Experimental Traffic Regulation Order revoking part of London Road bus lane**

**Recommendations:**

Cabinet Member for Public Services is recommended to:

- 1.      Consider the objection to the experimental revocation of part of the bus lane on London Road.
- 2.      Subject to recommendation 1, approve that the Experimental Traffic Regulation Order is made permanent

**The above Recommendations were approved.**

## **Report 9 Far Gosford Street - Top Square Parking Scheme**

### **Recommendations:**

The Cabinet Member Public Services is recommended to:

1. Approve the re-designation of adopted highway from footway to carriageway at Top Square in Far Gosford Street (as shown in Appendix 3) pursuant to Section 75 Highways Act 1980 for the purposes of delivering the Far Gosford Street Top Square Parking Project.
2. Approve the appropriation of land from its current streetscene and greenspace use to highway use (blue area as shown in Appendix 4) and to formally resolve to widen the highway to include this land pursuant to Section 72 Highways Act 1980.

**The above Recommendations were approved.**

## **Report 10 Outstanding Issues**

### **Recommendations:**

The Cabinet Member for Public Services is requested to consider the list of outstanding issues and to ask the Member of the Management Board or appropriate officer to explain the current position on those which should have been discharged at this meeting or an earlier meeting.

**The above Recommendation was approved.**

## **Cabinet Member for Community Development, Co-operatives and Social Enterprises – 10 September**

### **Report 4      Foleshill Community Centre**

#### **Recommendations:**

The Cabinet Member for Community Development, Co-operatives and Social Enterprise is recommended to

- (1) Invite expression of interest from interested organisations who believe they can run the Community Centre sustainably, by making it available for use by the whole community, and undertaking essential improvements to the centre, and
- (2) If no suitable organisation expresses interest, close the Community Centre and seek its redevelopment in accordance with current planning policies.

**The above Recommendations were approved.**

### **Report 5      Outstanding Issues**

#### **Recommendations:**

The Cabinet Member (Community Development, Co-operatives and Social Enterprise) is requested to consider the list of outstanding issues and to ask the Member of the Management Board or appropriate officer to explain the current position on those which should have been discharged at this meeting or an earlier meeting.

**The above Recommendations were approved.**



## Limitations on Call-in

A call-in will normally be regarded as appropriate **UNLESS**:-

1. it falls within paragraph 18 of the Scrutiny rules (Part 3E of the Constitution) – ie. it relates to:-
  - (i) a matter which is to be determined by the Council.
  - (ii) a decision of the Cabinet/Cabinet Member taken as a matter of urgency and the Chair of the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee (or his/her nominee) had been invited to attend the meeting where the urgent decision had been taken or the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee has previously agreed the need for urgency.
  - (iii) a decision made by an employee exercising delegated authority.
  - (iv) decisions of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee.
  - (v) decisions of the Planning Committee.
  - (vi) decisions of the Appeals and Appointments Panels.
  - (vii) decisions of the Audit and Procurement Committee.
  - (viii) a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board who have endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member.
2. The call-in form is not completed correctly.
3. The call-in form is received after the specified time.
4. The reason for the call-in is unclear or does not relate directly to the decision specified on the call-in form.
5. The reason for the call-in is a question, the answer to which can be found in the report relating to the decision which is being called in.



**Resources Directorate**  
Council House  
Earl Street  
COVENTRY CV1 5RR